IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JANE DOE, : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, :

•

v. :

:

WAL-MART STORES EAST, LP, :

Defendant. : No. 25-cv-1723

ORDER

AND NOW, this **3rd** day of **July 2025**, upon consideration of Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 10) and Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 14), it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 10) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.¹

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Chad F. Kenney

CHAD F. KENNEY, JUDGE

_

¹ When a plaintiff files an amended complaint, the district court has discretion to either deny a pending motion to dismiss as moot or "evaluat[e] the motion in light of the facts alleged in the complaint." *Pettaway v. Nat'l Recovery Sols., LLC*, 955 F.3d 299, 304 (2d Cir. 2020) (per curiam); *see also Gov't Emps. Ins. Co. v. Mount Prospect Chiropractic Ctr., P.A.*, 101 F.4th 272, 282 (3d Cir. 2024). Defendant may move to dismiss the amended complaint in the manner and timeframe set forth by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(3).